# **UKALL14** Monitoring Plan

Overview		
Phase of trial	Phase III	
Overall outcome of risk assessment	Medium	
IMP type(s)	Rituximab (Mabthera®), Pegaspargase (Oncaspar <sup>®</sup> ), Nelarabine (Atriance <sup>®</sup> ) and Palifermin (Kepivance <sup>®</sup> )	

Version number	Date	Summary of changes made	Changes made by
V6	01/06/2023	<ul> <li>Drug accountability review - only stock balance logs to be reviewed to ensure that all supplied drug has been accounted for at each site. Justification- trial in follow up and likely to end in 2023, monitoring focused on critical areas only.</li> <li>Final central monitoring request timeline clarified; at the latest, prior to site closure.</li> <li>Review process clarified, &amp; locations via hyperlinks provided, to assist in documenting review.</li> <li>The monitoring plan has not been updated to the current version of the CTC monitoring plan template due to the current status of the trial.</li> </ul>	Krista Wills & Emma Lawrie
V5	16/11/2017	Update to include actions going forward for 'registration only' sub-study patients. Updated to reflect move of MRD core laboratory from the Royal Free Hospital to UCL Cancer Institute	Amy Douglas
v4	18/10/2016	Clarification of triggers for on-site monitoring. Added further details of on-site monitoring activities to be performed during triggered visits.	Krista Wills
		Note it was not deemed necessary to transfer monitoring plan to template v7 at this stage in the trial.	
v3	28/04/2015	Transfer to the new CTC Monitoring Plan Template Changes to frequency of document collection as agreed with CTC monitoring coordinator (some documents previously collected annually now collected on an <i>ad hoc</i> basis) Change to oversight of consent process. Change to drug accountability process from per-drug to per- patient logs	Nadjet El- Mehidi
v2	02/12/2011	Transfer to the new CTC Monitoring Plan Template Removal of requirement for site self-assessment monitoring Introduction of site file QC checklists and collection of documents for central monitoring at UCL CTC	Simon Purnel
v1	05/01/2011	Initial version	Jo Gambell

Г

## CANCER RESEARCH UK & UCL CANCER TRIALS CENTRE

## UKALL14

Site Initiation				
	Trial specific plan	MMR (See Appendix 1)	Rationale (if different)	
Format	On site visit or teleconference	On site visit, teleconference or investigator meeting	N/A	
Occurs at what point?	Prior to activation N.B. where there are significant amendments to the protocol, re-training will be provided via teleconference and provision of written training materials.			
Responsible person(s)	Trial Coordinator, Senior Trial Coordinator			

Central Monitoring Requests and Site Quality Control					
Central monitoring introduction	Central monitoring encompasses checks of documents and information submitted by participating sites to UCL CTC or another central location. Sites will be sent routine requests to submit documents for central monitoring according to the timelines outlined below. Additional documents may be collected at appropriate other time points (e.g. CRFs should be submitted following each patient visit, according to the protocol specifications). This section outlines the documents to be collected for this trial, and the nature of the reviews that will be conducted of those documents. Where applicable checks have been undertaken at an on-site monitoring visit, it will not be necessary to repeat these centrally.				
	New 'Registration only' sub-study: With the release of protocol v11, B-cell patients can enter a 'registration only' sub-study, as recruitment to the B-cell randomisation is complete. The sub-study serves predominantly to address laboratory endpoints, supplemented by minimal outcome and survival data. Patients will be able to enter the sub-study and receive standard treatment as per their local clinician's choice. Any drugs given will be sourced from hospital stock and will not be regarded as IMPs. There is no requirement for safety reporting or drug accountability in 'registration only' sub-study patients.				
	Trial specific planMMR (See Appendix 1)Rationale (if different e.g. risk assessment highlighted need for increased monitoring)				
Frequency of routine document requests	Request sent annually. On-site monitoring visits triggered for non-compliant sites.	Request sent annually. On-site monitoring visits triggered for non-compliant sites.	N/A		
Trigger for routine document requests	The central monitoring requests for all sites will be combined and sent to all sites annually, until site closure. Where site closure document requests are planned within 4 months of the central monitoring due date, this will replace the annual central monitoring request.				
Documents/ information collected at the time of routine requests	<ul> <li>Documents Collected:</li> <li>Site staff delegation log (unless site confirms no changes are necessary; delegation logs may also be collected on an <i>ad hoc</i> basis if UCL CTC become aware of a change of staff at the site)</li> <li>Informed Consent Form Log (where details of the consent process have not been collected on the patient registration CRF)</li> </ul>				
Quality control checklists provided to sites	<ul> <li>Investigator Site File document version checklist</li> <li>Pharmacy Site File document version checklist</li> </ul>				

Additional documents/ information collected for central monitoring (as required)	<ul> <li>Documents collected:</li> <li>Case Report Forms (CRFs) including registration/randomisation form.</li> <li>Principal Investigator's CV and/or evidence of GCP training: PI's CV will be collected at site set up, when there is a change of PI and at site closure. PI's GCP certificates will be collected every 2 years unless local policy differs.</li> <li>Drug Accountability Logs: (balance logs will be collected and will be requested at the latest, prior to site closure.</li> <li>Screening logs: will be requested as required, typically prior to TMG meetings and when annual reports are due (e.g. to REC or funder)</li> <li>Document receipts for amended documents and other important communications</li> </ul>	
Central monitoring duties delegated by UCL CTC to core laboratoryMinimal residual disease (MRD) reports are to be requested by, and sent directly from sites to core laboratory at UCL Cancer Institute. The core lab will provide UCL CTC with updates on any outstanding reports on request.		
Checks undertaken through central monitoring	<b>Trial Logs/Reports</b> The site staff delegation log, screening log and informed consent form log will be checked for consistency and completeness.	
Checks undertaken through central monitoring cont.	<b>Patient Eligibility</b> Ensuring patient eligibility is the responsibility of the PI or other delegated Investigator(s). Checks of the criteria listed on the registration form will be undertaken by an appropriately trained UCL CTC staff member prior to registration. Central reviews of randomisation CRFs will also be performed.	
	<b>Informed Consent</b> Details relating to the informed consent process will be collected on the registration form from implementation of registration form v5.0. Details relating to the informed consent process prior for patients registered prior to the implementation of registration form v5.0 will be recorded on the Informed Consent Log and are subject to review by CTC as part of patient eligibility. Central reviews of informed consent via registration CRFs will also be performed to ensure consent for sample collection.	

UKALL14

r

## CANCER RESEARCH UK & UCL CANCER TRIALS CENTRE



<b>Drug Accountability Logs</b> Stock balance records for Rituximab (Mabthera®), Pegylated asparaginase (Oncaspar®), Nelarabine (Atriance®) and Palifermin (Kepivance®) will be reviewed to confirm that supplied drug has been dispensed only to randomised trial patients and that the quantity of supplied drug dispensed/quarantined/destroyed can be reconciled with the quantity supplied to the site. Patient drug accountability logs will be reviewed only when issues noted with the stock balance log that cannot be reconciled.
N.B. Accountability logs are not required for 'registration only' sub-study patients, who are receiving standard hospital stock treatment of their clinician's choice, and none of the drugs given are classed as IMPs.
<b>Data Management</b> Data received at UCL CTC will be checked for legibility, completeness, accuracy and consistency, including checks for missing or unusual values. If any problems are identified data queries will be issued to the site as per UCL CTC SOPs.
<i>Site Quality Control Checklists</i> Completed site quality control checklists returned by sites will be reviewed and any documents that are missing from the site files will be provided. If a checklist is not returned to UCL CTC it will be assumed that documents contained within the files at that site are up-to-date.
Site QC checklists are supplemented by the <i>ad hoc</i> collection of document receipts when amendments and other important trial-related communications are issued to sites.

Review process		Primary:
-	Stock balance logs Pegylated asparaginase	тс
	• Each entry has been completed in full.	Secondary:
	• IMP dispensed (include batch number) match those	/DM/STC
	dispensed to the patients which were recruited to the site.	
	• Only drug within its expiry date has been dispensed.	
	<ul> <li>Confirm that all unused/expired drug has been</li> </ul>	
	recorded as destroyed/disposed of, with record of	
	drug destruction certificates received if applicable.	
	Stock balance logs Rituximab	
	• Each entry has been completed in full.	
	• IMP dispensed (include batch number) match those	
	dispensed to the patients recruited to the site.	
	• Only drug within its expiry date has been dispensed.	
	<ul> <li>Confirm that all unused/expired drug has been</li> </ul>	
	recorded as destroyed/disposed of, with record of	
	drug destruction certificates received if applicable.	
	Rituximab destruction records per site is recorded here;	
	S:\BNLI\Trials\Open\UKALL14\TMF\12 IMP\g Drug destruction	
	records\Leftover rituximab destruction per site.xlsx	
	Stock balance logs Nelarabine	
	• Each entry has been completed in full.	
	IMP dispensed (include batch number) match those	
	dispensed to the patient recruited to the site.	
	• Only drug within its expiry date has been dispensed.	

	1	,
	Confirm that all unused/expired drug has been	
	recorded as destroyed/disposed of, with record of	
	drug destruction certificates received if applicable.	
	Stock balance logs palifermin	
	• Each entry has been completed in full.	
	• IMP dispensed (include batch number) match those	
	dispensed to the patient recruited to the site.	
	• Only drug within its expiry date has been dispensed.	
	<ul> <li>Confirm that all unused/expired drug has been</li> </ul>	
	recorded as destroyed/disposed of, with record of	
drug destruction certificates received if applicable.		
	For all logs, confirm completed pages have been signed by	
	For all logs, confirm completed pages have been signed by	
	delegated pharmacy personnel.	
	Associate bility loss to be filed in the sTMC under the velocient	
	Accountability logs to be filed in the eTMF under the relevant	
	site folder within the pharmacy section, for example	
	S:\BNLI\Trials\Open\UKALL14\TMF\10 LOCAL SITES\b CTC sites	
	files\Aberdeen Royal Infirmary\Pharmacy	
De como contente de la c	Paper logs to be held in the corresponding paper site file.	Duine and u
Documents to be	In order to perform accountability review, ensure the logs are	Primary:
cross checked	reviewed against the following;	TC
against	Patient list (copy located here;	Secondary:
accountability logs	S:\BNLI\Trials\Open\UKALL14\TMF\12 IMP\d Supplies	DM/STC
	accountability)	
	Destruction logs (located per site here;	
	S:\BNLI\Trials\Open\UKALL14\TMF\12 IMP\g Drug destruction	
	records)	
	Delegation Logs	<b>D</b> :
Record of review	Follow up with the site for any queries or discrepancies noted	Primary:
	until resolution. File all email correspondence in the TMF and	TC
	track receipt and review.	Secondary:
		DMSTC
	Where sites are using the UCL CTC template the 'For UCL CTC	
	Use Only' section must be completed each time an	
	accountability log is reviewed. Utilise docusign if performing	
	remotely.	
	Where sites are using their own in-house logs, the reviewer	
	should use the 'UCL CTC use only' review label. The template	
	can be found on the intranet associated with T13 Standard	
	Operating Procedure for Monitoring and Oversight of Clinical	
	Studies.	
	Evidence of review will be recorded on the trial 'Centralised	
	Monitoring' spreadsheet. This is located here;	
	S:\BNLI\Trials\Open\UKALL14\TMF\01 TRIAL MANAGEMENT\c	
	RA - MP\Monitoring\Central Monitoring\UKALL14 - Central	
	monitoring tracking log.xls	
	All correspondence regarding central review to be filed in the	
	TMF/eTMF under the relevant site file.	
Central monitoring	Where central monitoring of data and/or documentation submitt	ed by a site identifies
Central monitoring summary		
-	Where central monitoring of data and/or documentation submitt any discrepancies, a query will be raised with the site and followe	d up until resolution. If
-	Where central monitoring of data and/or documentation submitt any discrepancies, a query will be raised with the site and followe the discrepancy is significant, this will be discussed with the STC/1	d up until resolution. If GL and, where
-	Where central monitoring of data and/or documentation submitt any discrepancies, a query will be raised with the site and followe the discrepancy is significant, this will be discussed with the STC/T possible, review of additional documents will be undertaken (for	d up until resolution. If GL and, where
-	Where central monitoring of data and/or documentation submitt any discrepancies, a query will be raised with the site and followe the discrepancy is significant, this will be discussed with the STC/1	d up until resolution. If GL and, where



If there is concern of serious or systematic failure, or evidence that a patient may have been placed at risk (e.g. indication that stopping rules for an IMP were not observed
--



#### Serious Non-Compliance / Triggers for on-site monitoring visits

On-site monitoring visits may be scheduled where there is evidence or suspicion of non-compliance at a site with important aspect(s) of the trial protocol/GCP requirements. The following may also trigger an on-site monitoring visit):

- In response to TSC request
- Lower than expected number of SAEs reported (to be assessed statistically periodically)
- Poor quality, delays with or concerns regarding SAE reporting
- Poor CRF / query return rate or significant delays in submitting data or responding to queries
- Poor data quality
- Important incidents and/or breaches
- Significant site staff turnover (particularly for pivotal staff e.g. investigator, research nurse, trial coordinator, lead pharmacy staff)

Note the trial team will review the above factors regularly to determine whether an on-site visit is necessary; taking into account the nature and frequency of issues at each site.

Sites will be sent a letter in advance outlining the reason(s) for the visit. The letter will include a list of the documents that are to be reviewed, interviews that will be conducted, planned inspections of the facilities, who will be performing the visit and when the visit is likely to occur.

Sites who are persistently non-compliant or who persistently do not return data within the required timelines may be suspended from recruiting further patients into the trial by UCL CTC.

#### **Triggered On-site Monitoring**

Should an on-site monitoring visit be required in response to non-compliance, site request or other trigger, the patients for review will be selected on a site by site basis according to the risk identified (e.g. transplant patients at transplant centres). The following source data verification/ review (SDV/SDR) checks will be prioritised:

- Patient consent for all patients selected for review
- Patient eligibility for a proportion of patients selected for review
- SAE reporting (SDR for unreported SAEs) for all patients selected for review
- Transplant data where applicable

In addition, at least one patient per site visit will be selected for detailed review (SDV and/or SDR), to evaluate site compliance with other aspects of the protocol, GCP and confirm adequacy of source documentation.

The following will only be reviewed if there is an indication that there is an issue in these areas:

- Primary endpoints
- Secondary/other endpoints
- Drug accountability

The algorithm may be utilised in the selection of patients to review see section below.

Specific arrangements will be made for each individual on-site monitoring visit of this kind to account for the nature of the trigger and, in the case of a 'for cause' visit, the areas reviewed will cover details specific to the area of suspected/actual non-compliance.

Site Closure						
	Trial specific planMMR (See Appendix 1)Rationale (if different)					
Format	Central collection & review of required documents	Central collection & review of required documents	N/A			

#### Algorithms

Where data are to be reviewed for a specific percentage of patients, prior to each monitoring activity a programme for random number generation will provide details of the *n*th patient(s) to be reviewed. This will be cross checked with the master subject list (or other document which gives a chronological record of patients enrolled at site) to establish the trial number of the patient(s) to be reviewed.

The algorithm will be based on:

- Number of patients enrolled at site
- Percentage of patients required
- The number(s) generated in any previous algorithm requests

Review and Authorisation				
	DocuSigned by:			
Emma Lawrie	Emma Lawrie	09-Jun-2023		
Trial Manager	Signature	Date		
Charlotte Tyson	Docusigned by: Charlotte tyson 200220E049ADE473	09-Jun-2023		
Senior Project Manger	Signature	Date		
Krista Wills	DocuSigned by: Exista Wills	12-Jun-2023		
Clinical Trials Operations Manager	A3FCF43F9BD6446 Signature	Date		



## UKALL14

## **Appendix 1 – Minimum Monitoring Requirements**

Risk factor	Phase I	Phase II (single arm)	Phase II (randomised controlled)	Phase III
High	Site initiation: • On-site visit	Site initiation: • On-site visit	Site initiation: • On-site visit	Site initiation: • On-site visit or telecon
	<ul> <li>Trial monitoring: Onsite (SDV)</li> <li>Consent &amp; eligibility: 100% SDV for all pts</li> <li>SAE reporting: 100% SDV for all pts</li> <li>Primary endpoint(s): 100% SDV for all pts</li> <li>Secondary endpoints: Trial specific</li> <li>Drug accountability: 100% SDV for all pts</li> <li>Other trial data: 100% SDV for all pts</li> <li>Central Monitoring and site quality control</li> <li>Frequency determined per trial</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Trial monitoring:</li> <li>Onsite (SDV)</li> <li>Consent &amp; eligibility: 100% SDV for all pts</li> <li>SAE reporting: 100% SDV for all pts</li> <li>Primary endpoint(s): 100% SDV for all pts</li> <li>Secondary endpoints: Trial specific</li> <li>Drug accountability: 100% SDV for 1st pt enrolled at each site &amp; 10% of pts thereafter</li> <li>Other trial data: 100% SDV for 1st pt enrolled at each site &amp; 10% of pts thereafter</li> <li>Central Monitoring and site quality control</li> <li>Frequency determined per trial</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Trial monitoring: Onsite (SDV)</li> <li>Consent &amp; eligibility: 100% SDV for 50% of pts</li> <li>SAE reporting: 100% SDV for 50% of pts</li> <li>Primary endpoint(s): 100% SDV for 50% of pts</li> <li>Secondary endpoints: Trial specific</li> <li>Drug accountability: 100% SDV for 1<sup>st</sup> pt enrolled at each site</li> <li>Other trial data: 100% SDV for 1<sup>st</sup> pt enrolled at each site</li> <li>Central Monitoring and site quality control</li> <li>Request sent biannually</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Trial monitoring: Onsite (SDV)</li> <li>Some on-site monitoring required if trial incorporates an unlicensed product – level to be determined per trial Central Monitoring and site quality control</li> <li>Request sent biannually</li> <li>On-site monitoring visits triggered for non-compliant sites</li> </ul>
	Site closure: • On-site visit	Site closure: • On-site visit or teleconference	Site closure: • On-site visit or teleconference	Site closure: • Central collection & review of required docs
Medium	Site initiation: • On-site visit Trial monitoring: Onsite (SDV) • Consent & eligibility: 100% SDV for all pts • SAE reporting: 100% SDV for all pts • Primary endpoint(s): 100% SDV for all pts • Secondary endpoints: Trial specific • Drug accountability: 100% SDV for 1st pt enrolled at each site & 10% of pts thereafter • Other trial data: 100% SDV for 1st pt enrolled at each site & 10% of pts thereafter <b>Central Monitoring and site quality control</b> • Frequency determined per trial	Site initiation: • On-site visit Trial monitoring: Onsite (SDV) • Consent & eligibility: 100% SDV for 50% of pts • SAE reporting: 100% SDV for 50% of pts • Primary endpoint(s): 100% SDV for 50% of pts • Secondary endpoints: Trial specific • Drug accountability: 100% SDV for 1 <sup>st</sup> pt enrolled at each site • Other trial data: 100% SDV for 1 <sup>st</sup> pt enrolled at each site <b>Central Monitoring and site quality control</b> • Request sent biannually	<ul> <li>Site initiation:</li> <li>On-site visit or telecon</li> <li>Trial monitoring:</li> <li>Onsite (SDV)</li> <li>Some on-site monitoring required if trial incorporates an unlicensed product – level to be determined per trial</li> <li>Central Monitoring and site quality control</li> <li>Request sent biannually</li> <li>On-site monitoring visits triggered for non-compliant sites</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site initiation:</li> <li>On-site visit, telecon or Investigator Meeting</li> <li>Trial monitoring:</li> <li>Onsite (SDV)</li> <li>Some on-site monitoring required if trial incorporates an unlicensed product – level to be determined per trial</li> <li>Central Monitoring and site quality control</li> <li>Request sent annually</li> <li>On-site monitoring visits triggered for non-compliant sites</li> </ul>
	Site closure: • On-site visit	Site closure: • On-site visit or teleconference	Site closure: Central collection & review of required docs	Site closure: <ul> <li>Central collection &amp; review of required docs</li> </ul>
Low	Site initiation:         • On-site visit         Trial monitoring:         Onsite (SDV)         • Consent & eligibility: 100% SDV for all pts         • SAE reporting: 100% SDV for all pts         • Primary endpoint(s): 100% SDV for all pts         • Secondary endpoints: Trial specific         • Drug accountability: 100% SDV for 1st pt enrolled at each site & 10% of pts thereafter         • Other trial data: 100% SDV for 1st pt enrolled at each site & 10% of pts thereafter         Central Monitoring and site quality control         • Frequency determined per trial	<ul> <li>Site initiation:</li> <li>On-site visit or telecom</li> <li>Trial monitoring:</li> <li>Onsite (SDV)</li> <li>Some on-site monitoring required if trial incorporates an unlicensed product – level to be determined per trial</li> <li>Central Monitoring and site quality control</li> <li>Request sent biannually</li> <li>On-site monitoring visits triggered for non-compliant sites</li> </ul>	sites	sites
	Site closure: • On-site visit	Site closure: Central collection & review of required docs	<ul><li>Site closure:</li><li>Central collection &amp; review of required docs</li></ul>	Site closure: <ul> <li>Central collection &amp; review of required docs</li> </ul>

# DocuSign

#### **Certificate Of Completion**

Envelope Id: AD687511D8E74272A33248EB08541800 Subject: Complete with DocuSign: UKALL14 Monitoring Plan v6 01.06.2023.docx Source Envelope: Document Pages: 9 Signatures: 3 Certificate Pages: 2 Initials: 0 AutoNav: Enabled EnvelopeId Stamping: Enabled Time Zone: (UTC) Dublin, Edinburgh, Lisbon, London

#### **Record Tracking**

Status: Original 09 June 2023 | 08:46

#### Signer Events

Charlotte Tyson

c.tyson@ucl.ac.uk

Senior Project Manager CRUK and UCL Cancer Trials Centre

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (None)

#### Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure: Not Offered via DocuSign

Emma Lawrie e.lawrie@ucl.ac.uk Trial Manager CRUK and UCL Cancer Trials Centre

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (None)

#### Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure: Not Offered via DocuSign

Krista Wills k.wills@ucl.ac.uk Monitoring Coordinator CRUK and UCL Cancer Trials Centre Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (None)

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure: Not Offered via DocuSign Holder: Emma Lawrie e.lawrie@ucl.ac.uk

#### Signature

— Docusigned by: Charlotte Tyson — 26C2DE649ADE473...

Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Using IP Address: 128.40.163.112

— DocuSigned by: Emma Lawrie — C97CF5B5063D48B...

Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Using IP Address: 128.40.163.112



Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Using IP Address: 128.40.163.112

Status: Completed

Envelope Originator: Emma Lawrie 5th Floor, 90 Tottenham Court Road, London, London W1T4TJ e.lawrie@ucl.ac.uk IP Address: 128.40.163.112

#### Location: DocuSign

#### Timestamp

Sent: 09 June 2023 | 08:49 Viewed: 09 June 2023 | 09:21 Signed: 09 June 2023 | 09:21

Sent: 09 June 2023 | 08:49 Viewed: 09 June 2023 | 08:49 Signed: 09 June 2023 | 08:49

Sent: 09 June 2023 | 08:49 Viewed: 12 June 2023 | 14:45 Signed: 12 June 2023 | 14:45

In Person Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp
Editor Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Agent Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Intermediary Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Certified Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Carbon Copy Events	Status	Timestamp

Witness Events	Signature	Timestamp
Notary Events	Signature	Timestamp
Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps
Envelope Sent	Hashed/Encrypted	09 June 2023   08:49
Certified Delivered	Security Checked	12 June 2023   14:45
Signing Complete	Security Checked	12 June 2023   14:45
Completed	Security Checked	12 June 2023   14:45
Payment Events	Status	Timestamps